



Oxygen Therapy

Oxygen Therapy in Intensive Care Medicine,
J. Grensemann, S. Sakka

Oxygen: Too Much is Bad, *B. Pastene, M. Leone*

Oxygen Therapy in COVID-19 Patients: The Role of
HFNC and CPAP, *S. Ferrari, A. Isirdi, E. Taddei et al.*

Apnoeic Oxygenation for Intubation - Where is the
Evidence? *A. De Jong, C. Monet, S. Jaber*

Major Adverse Peri-intubation Events in Critically
Ill Patients - Update on the INTUBE Study,
V. Russotto, S. Myatra, J. Laffey et al.

New Applications of Pulse Oximetry, *F. Michard*

Practical Strategies in Mechanical Ventilation
for Patients With Acute Respiratory Failure Due
to COVID-19, *O. Pérez-Nieto, E. Zamarron-Lopez,
J. Meade-Aguilar et al.*

Airway Management in Critically Ill Patients -
Striving to Improve Outcomes, *K. Karamchandani,
A. Khanna, S. Myatra*

Hyperoxia - A Journey to the Centre of the Cell,
J. Poole

Diaphragm Ultrasonography in ICU: Why, How,
and When to Use It? *Y. Aarab, A. De Jong, S. Jaber*



**Bruno Pastene**

Department of
Anesthesiology and
Intensive Care Unit
Hospital Nord
Assistance Publique
Hôpitaux de Marseille
Aix Marseille University
Marseille, France

bruno.pastene@ap-hm.fr

**Marc Leone**

Department of
Anesthesiology and
Intensive Care Unit
Hospital Nord
Assistance Publique
Hôpitaux de Marseille
Aix Marseille University
Marseille, France

marc.leone@ap-hm.fr

Introduction

In the intensive care unit (ICU), supplemental oxygen administration is a routine treatment administered to a large number of patients. The rationale for this oxygen administration is the prevention and the treatment of hypoxia, which has deleterious effects and ultimately leads to cell death (O'Driscoll et al. 2017). As a reminder, hypoxia is a condition in which there is not enough oxygen available to the blood and body tissues while hypoxaemia is an abnormally low concentration of oxygen in the blood. The formula to calculate the arterial content of oxygen in blood is:

$$(\text{arterial oxygen saturation} \times \text{haemoglobin} \times 1.34) + (\text{arterial oxygen tension} \times 0.003)$$

suggesting that oxygen saturation is the main determinant of oxygen content in blood. For this reason, excessive values of arterial oxygen tension (PaO_2) are often tolerated and perceived by physicians as a safety net against hypoxaemia and its consequences (Suzuki et al. 2013; Young et al. 2015).

Increasing evidence suggests that exposure to hyperoxia during critical illness is associated with impaired outcome and conservative oxygen therapy should be a future recommendation in most guidelines (Barbateskovic et al. 2019; Chu et al. 2018). Nevertheless, inconsistent findings are reported

Oxygen: Too Much is Bad

Benefits and harms of supplemental oxygen administration in ICU for critically ill patients

Supplemental oxygen administration is a routine treatment administered to a wide majority of critically ill patients. Nevertheless, evidence suggest that exposure to hyperoxia is associated with impaired outcomes. We discuss here the benefits and harms of supplemental oxygen administration in the intensive care unit.

with no deleterious effect or even a protective effect of hyperoxia in ICU patients (Duclos et al. 2021; Mackle et al. 2020).

We briefly reviewed the current literature on the topic to discuss the benefits and harms of supplemental oxygen administration in ICU for critically ill patients.

Definitions

The term "hyperoxia" generically refers to an excess oxygen supply (administration of any inspired oxygen fraction (FiO_2) higher than 0.21) leading to a raise of PaO_2 above normal values.

Normoxaemia is often defined as a PaO_2 between 80 and 100 mmHg in a subject breathing room air at sea level. Unfortunately, clinical studies used a wide variety of definition for hyperoxia, explaining partly the inconsistency of findings (Barbateskovic et al. 2019; Damiani et al. 2014). A linear relationship was found between elevated PaO_2 and mortality when PaO_2 is analysed as a continuous variable, but without a strong threshold for harm (Janz et al. 2012). In a cohort study, ICU mortality appeared to increase linearly with exposure time even with mild hyperoxia [120-200 mmHg] (Helmerhorst et al. 2017a).

Hyperoxia Effects


Aerobic metabolism generates reactive oxygen species (ROS). Those are responsible for oxidative stress, which leads to cell death if not counterbalanced (Davies 1995). Thus, antioxidant systems are available

in eucaryotic cells to eliminate ROS and maintain cell life. Situations of imbalance between oxidative stress and antioxidant defenses aggravate oxidative stress, cause damage to the cells with potential cell death and exacerbate the inflammatory response (Helmerhorst et al. 2015). In mouse lungs, hyperoxia caused oxidative stress, increased inflammatory pulmonary response, increased pro-inflammatory cytokines and macrophages rates and created histological pulmonary damage (Helmerhorst et al. 2017b). Denitrogenation after high oxygen concentration breathing leads to alveolar collapse and lung atelectasis (Aboab et al. 2006).

Hyperoxia has also well-described systemic effects. Animal studies found greater spread of infection as well as altered organ perfusion and haemodynamics in models of septic and haemorrhagic shock occurring during hyperoxia (Dyson et al. 2009; Rodríguez-González et al. 2014). Whereas hypoxia may be viewed as a natural response to injury, hyperoxia is never found except in conditions generated by human interventions. In this sense, oxygen should be assessed as a drug with possible side effects in case of overdose.

Hyperoxia is Certainly Deleterious

Hyperoxia is a frequent event in critically ill patients. In a multicentre retrospective cohort study, Douin et al. (2021) showed that among 3,464 injured patients, 46% of ICU time was spent in hyperoxia defined



by an arterial oxygen saturation (SaO₂) above 96%. Hyperoxia was associated with a greater risk of in-hospital mortality.

Several randomised controlled trials reported deleterious effects of prolonged hyperoxia. Girardis et al. (2016) found an increased ICU mortality in 218 patients treated with a liberal oxygen therapy compared with 216 patients treated with a conservative strategy, defined by a PaO₂ between 70 and 100 mmHg (20.2% vs. 11.6%, absolute risk reduction at 0.086 [95% confidence interval (CI) from 0.017 to 0.150], $p = 0.01$). Of note, in this study, the patients were hospitalised in the ICU for at least three days and received mechanical ventilation. Those findings were confirmed in a meta-analysis reporting a deleterious effect of prolonged hyperoxia exposure over time in a heterogeneous patient population (Chu et al. 2018).

Sepsis is associated with excessive radical oxygen species (ROS) formation from the nitric oxide (NO) pathway (Rodríguez-González et al. 2014). Additional ROS formation from hyperoxia could further worsen organ dysfunction. The HYPERS2S randomised controlled trial showed that

hyperoxia, defined by a group treated with FiO₂ at 1, increased the risk of 28-day mortality in patients with septic shock: 93 (43%) of 217 patients were non-survivors in the hyperoxia group versus 77 (35%) of 217 patients in the normoxia group [hazard ratio 1.27, 95% CI 0.94-1.72; $p = 0.12$] (Asfar et al. 2017). The authors reported a significant difference in the

oxygen should be assessed as a drug with possible side effects in case of overdose

overall incidence of serious adverse events between the hyperoxia (185 [85%]) and normoxia groups (165 [76%]; $p = 0.02$), with a clinically relevant doubling in the hyperoxia group of the number of patients with ICU-acquired weakness (24 [11%] vs. 13 [6%]; $p = 0.06$) and atelectasis (26 [12%] vs 13 [6%]; $p = 0.04$) compared with the normoxia group. This higher rate of complications resulted in the premature interruption of this trial.

A meta-analysis of the Cochrane group assessed the supplemental use of oxygen in patients with acute myocardial infarction. The authors concluded that randomised controlled trials did not support the routine use of inhaled oxygen in patients with acute myocardial injury, and a harmful effect of hyperoxia cannot be ruled out (Cabello et al. 2013). In cardiac arrest, high concentration of inhaled oxygen is routinely used during the resuscitation phases to increase presumably the tissular oxygen availability. Nevertheless, a randomised controlled trial showed an increase at 24 hours of neuronal injury markers in post-cardiac arrest patients ventilated with a FiO₂ at 1 rather than those ventilated with a FiO₂ at 0.3. (Kuisma et al. 2006). The 2021 European Resuscitation Council guidelines on post-resuscitation care recommend normoxia to improve recovery after cardiac arrest (Nolan et al. 2021).

Limitations and Questions

Despite this body of evidence, several studies did not confirm the deleterious effects of hyperoxia. In 205 patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome, a randomised controlled trial found that early exposure

to a conservative oxygen therapy defined by a target arterial oxygen tension from 55 to 80 mmHg did not increase survival at day 28 (Barrot et al. 2020). Same findings were reported in another multicentre randomised controlled trial including 2928 patients with acute hypoxaemic respiratory failure (Schjørring et al. 2021). The ICU-ROX investigators randomised 1000 patients undergoing mechanical ventilation to receive conservative or usual oxygen therapy. The primary endpoint was the number of ventilator free-days, which was not significantly different between the two groups (Mackle et al. 2020). In a post-hoc analysis including 251 patients with sepsis, although the difference of 90-day mortality did not reach a significant level, the trend was in favour of the usual group, i.e. that with high target of PaO₂ [36.2% vs. 29.2%, p = 0.24] (Young et al. 2020).

In brain injured patients, observational studies suggest a beneficial effect of early hyperoxia (Crawford et al. 2017; Jeon et al. 2014). However, high quality studies found no effect or even deleterious effects in the hyperoxia groups (Damiani et al. 2014; Roffe et al. 2017). In severe blunt chest trauma patients, a cohort study with a propensity score-based analysis found that early hyperoxia was not associated with more complications, including death and/or hospital-acquired pneumonia and/or acute respiratory distress syndrome. Hyperoxia was also associated with an increase in hospital-acquired pneumonia-free, mechanical ventilation-free, and ICU-free days (Duclos et al. 2021).

References

Aboab J, Jonson B, Kouatchet A et al. [2006] Effect of inspired oxygen fraction on alveolar derecruitment in acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Intensive Care Medicine*, 32(12), 1979-1986.

Asfar P, Schortgen F, Boisramé-Helms J et al. [2017] Hyperoxia and hypertonic saline in patients with septic shock (HYPERSES2S): A two-by-two factorial, multicentre, randomised, clinical trial. *The Lancet. Respiratory Medicine*, 5(3), 180-190.

Barbateskovic M, Schjørring OL, Russo Krauss S et al. [2019] Higher versus lower fraction of inspired oxygen or targets of arterial oxygenation for adults admitted to the intensive care unit. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*.

Barrot L, Asfar P, Mauny F et al. [2020] Liberal or Conservative

Several reasons may explain these conflicting findings. First, in observational studies, the most severe patients often develop hypoxia due to shock and acute respiratory failure. Then, the effects of oxygen threshold setting can be misleading by the weight of covariates. Second, it was now well-recognised that administration of high concentration oxygen is deleterious. Hence, the recent randomised controlled trials set the level of PaO₂ in their control group around 90 mmHg (Barrot et al., 2020; Mackle et al. 2020; Schjørring et al. 2021). Even if this variable is not reported in the protocol, the medical teams in charge of patients pay attention to avoid hyperoxia. In the ICU-ROX, in both groups, the patients had a PaO₂ below 100 mmHg from day 2 (Mackle et al. 2020). Actually, the control groups received a normoxic ventilation. The third explanation is the duration and timing of intervention. The studies in which hyperoxia was associated with improved outcome used high concentration of oxygen for a short duration at an early time-point after the injury (Duclos et al. 2021; Rockswold et al. 2013). In patients with traumatic brain injury, the administration of oxygen at a FiO₂ set at 1 was performed only for three hours (Rockswold et al. 2013), while those with chest trauma were assessed for their oxygenation levels only during the first 24 hours (Duclos et al. 2021). Fourth, oxygen toxicity is probably increased among the patients receiving invasive mechanical ventilation (Girardis et al. 2016). Fifth, factors not related to oxygen administration

can interfere with the oxygen metabolism. The effects of bringing more oxygen to tissue has been challenged during the nineties by increasing the cardiac index. A seminal randomised controlled trial showed an increased in mortality among patients receiving supra-therapeutic goals of oxygen delivery, as compared with those receiving standard of care (Hayes et al. 1994).

Conclusion

In critically ill patients, the risks associated with hypoxaemia have led to the administration of high quantity of oxygen (Suzuki et al. 2013; Young et al. 2015). Results from the more recent studies suggest that hyperoxia was associated with poor outcomes. The actual trend is to navigate towards a more conservative approach of oxygen administration. Nevertheless, even if a definitive threshold has not been discovered yet, a target of PaO₂ ranging from 70 to 90 mmHg seems safe. However, the best timing of interventions on oxygen and the best monitoring of oxygen metabolism remains to be determined to develop personalised approaches within the next years in order to maintain critically ill patients within the safe zone of the U-shaped curve of hypoxaemia and hyperoxia (**Figure 1**).

Conflict of Interest

Pr. Leone reports personal fees from MSD, Pfizer, Octopharma, Aspen, Orion, Amomed, Aguetant outside the submitted work. Dr Pastene has nothing to disclose. ■

Oxygen Therapy for Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 382(11), 999-1008.

Cabello JB, Burls A, Emparanza JI et al. [2013] Oxygen therapy for acute myocardial infarction. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 8, CD007160.

Chu DK, Kim LHY, Young PJ et al. [2018] Mortality and morbidity in acutely ill adults treated with liberal versus conservative oxygen therapy (IOTA): A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet (London, England)*, 391(10131), 1693-1705.

Crawford C, Teo L, Yang E et al. [2017] Is Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Effective for Traumatic Brain Injury? A Rapid Evidence Assessment of the Literature and Recommendations for

the Field. *The Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation*, 32(3), E27-E37.

Damiani E, Adrario E, Girardis M et al. [2014] Arterial hyperoxia and mortality in critically ill patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Critical Care (London, England)*, 18(6), 711.

Davies KJ [1995] Oxidative stress: The paradox of aerobic life. *Biochemical Society Symposium*, 61, 1-31.

Douin DJ, Anderson EL, Dylla L et al. [2021] Association Between Hyperoxia, Supplemental Oxygen, and Mortality in Critically Injured Patients. *Critical Care Explorations*, 3(5), e0418.

For full references, please email editorial@icu-management.org or visit <https://ii.hm/1a48>